# DISPLAY ANNOTATION AND LAYOUT PROCESSING

## 2 FIELD OF THE INVENTION

- 3 The present invention relates to an information processing
- 4 method and an information processing system. More
- 5 particularly, the present invention relates to improved
- 6 provision of annotation and/or layout for display.

## 7 BACKGROUND

- 8 The use of the Internet became popular. As the role of the
- 9 Internet has been varied, variety of apparatuses for access
- 10 to the Internet becomes more diverse. Conventionally, a
- 11 computer system having a CRT (Cathode Ray Tube) with a
- 12 display area of about 12 to 20 inches, a liquid crystal
- 13 display or a plasma display device has been used as an
- 14 apparatus for connection to the Internet.
- 15 However, while taking into account cases wherein portability
- 16 is important, there has been a dramatic spread in the
- 17 integration of handy telephones, PDAs (Personal Digital
- 18 Assistants) and i-mode handy phones. These apparatuses are
- 19 generally having small display area. Further, since visually
- 20 impaired persons cannot confirm the output of computers by
- 21 observing display devices, the reading software, such as
- 22 speech browsers, has been developed. It is anticipated that
- 23 such reading software will eventually constitute a human

- 1 interface improvement, not only for visually impaired persons
- 2 but also for users who are unfamiliar with computers. Then,
- 3 this kind of software technique can contribute to and promote
- 4 the wider use of computer systems. In addition, for wearable
- 5 computers, since the areas of their display devices should
- 6 perforce be small, it is predicted that speech output will be
- 7 a primary or, at the least, an auxiliary output means.
- 8 In general, the designs of page layouts for web sites are
- 9 based on the assumption that the display devices of computer
- 10 systems will have 12 to 20 inch display areas. Furthermore,
- 11 for the output to display devices, it is premised that
- 12 displays will be used by persons with normal sight.
- 13 Specifically, the menu area (link information is embedded
- 14 there) of a site and an advertisement banner are ordinarily
- 15 arranged at the upper or left portion of a display area, and
- 16 the two-dimensional layout of the data is presented, so that
- 17 it can be easily viewed by users with normal sight. The
- 18 information inherent to a page commonly tends to be arranged
- 19 in the center or in the latter half of a page layout.
- 20 When a web page, designed for users with normal sight, or a
- 21 large screen device, is to be displayed on a PDA or a
- 22 portable telephone, or is to be output by a speech browser,
- 23 usually the information (frame information, an advertisement,
- 24 etc.) at the first of a page tends to be an obstacle. The
- 25 two-dimensional information, such as frame information or
- 26 advertisements, is effective and improves the usability for
- 27 users with normal sight and a large screen. However, for
- 28 users who operate small screen devices or employ speech

- 1 browsers, these secondary information becomes an obstacle to
- 2 find the most important information, such as the inherent
- 3 information of the page. Therefore, when a device having a
- 4 small screen or a speech browser is employed to output a page
- 5 file designed for a large screen, we have to provide some
- 6 method for accessing to important information easily.
- 7 Therefore, when a device having a small screen or a speech
- 8 browser is employed to output a page file designed for a
- 9 large screen, some means is required for rapidly accessing
- 10 the initially sought information. One well-known means is a
- 11 method that provides annotations for a page file. Annotation
- 12 is an additional data, such as the structure of a page file
- 13 and the importance level of each portion. Usually, the
- 14 annotation is written to an external file, and is used to
- 15 simplify page file accurately.
- 16 However, it is not easy to provide annotations for each page
- 17 file. Generally, while each page file is browsed and the
- 18 display is conformed, the importance level of the page file
- 19 should be determined and annotations should be provided.
- 20 These operations need be performed manually. Especially at a
- 21 news site or a database site, the annotator's workload to
- 22 prepare annotations is significantly increased because the
- 23 volume of available page files is large. In addition, when a
- 24 new file is to be generated by including date data in the URL
- 25 (Uniform Resource Locator), even if annotations have already
- 26 been provided to the site, additional annotations should be
- 27 prepared.

#### 1 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

- 2 It is, therefore an aspect of the present invention to
- 3 provide methods, apparatus and systems for preparing
- 4 annotations for a page file. Thus, according to the present
- 5 invention, a example method is provided whereby a page group
- 6 employing the same layout is detected in accordance with the
- 7 tag structure of a document, such as an HTML (HyperText
- 8 Markup Language) document. Then, annotations are shared
- 9 among these pages. At a site designated by a user, the layout
- 10 structure of the contents is analyzed, and tags (hereinafter
- 11 referred to as layout tags) are enumerated that are factors
- 12 referred to when determining a layout. At the same time, in
- 13 order to clearly identify the structure of the layout tags in
- 14 a document, such as an HTML document, the layout tags are
- 15 written in a structural descriptive form that employs a style
- 16 for the designation of positions on the page, i.e., an XPath,
- 17 an XPointer or a tree format. Further, the characteristic
- 18 values of the layout tags (structural descriptive forms) are
- 19 acquired. Then, based on the obtained data, the distance
- 20 between the pages is calculated. Based on the calculated
- 21 distance, a group of pages using the same layout and a group
- 22 of pages sharing part of the layout are automatically
- 23 detected and presented to a user. When the user adds an
- 24 annotation for one representative page of a page group, a
- 25 corresponding annotation is added to [generally to all] pages
- 26 in the group that employ the same layout. When there are
- 27 pages that share the layout, first, an annotation is added to

- 1 the portion used in common, and then, annotations are added
- 2 to the portions that are individually held by individual page
- 3 groups. In this fashion, an efficient annotation provision
- 4 can be provided.
- 5 Further, in this invention, when a user additionally performs
- 6 a correction to divide or unify the presented page groups,
- 7 the results can be employed to correct the distance
- 8 calculation expression. As a result, the accuracy in the
- 9 following page group division can be improved.

#### 10 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

- 11 These and other aspects, features, and advantages of the
- 12 present invention will become apparent upon further
- 13 consideration of the following detailed description of the
- 14 invention when read in conjunction with the drawing figures,
- 15 in which:
- 16 Fig. 1 is a block diagram showing an example information
- 17 processing system according to one embodiment of the present
- 18 invention;
- 19 Fig. 2 is a block diagram showing an example structure of an
- 20 HTML file analysis module;
- 21 Fig. 3 is a diagram showing a URL and layout tags, and
- 22 characteristic values that are related to the URL.

- 1 Fig. 4 is a block diagram showing an example structure for a
- 2 page group detection module;
- 3 Fig. 5 is a diagram showing a screen obtained by browsing
- 4 example page files that fall into the same layout group;
- 5 Fig. 6 is a diagram showing a screen obtained by browsing
- 6 another example page file that falls in the same layout
- 7 group;
- 8 Fig. 7 is a diagram showing a screen obtained by browsing
- 9 example page files that do not fall into the same layout
- 10 group;
- 11 Fig. 8 is a flowchart showing the annotation addition
- 12 processing;
- 13 Fig. 9 is a flowchart showing the processing for adding an
- 14 annotation to a page group for which a temporary layout ID
- 15 was provided; and
- 16 Fig. 10 is a flowchart showing the processing for adding an
- 17 annotation to a layout sharing group.

#### 18 DESCRIPTION OF THE SYMBOLS

- 1: Information processing system
- 2: Database

15

16

17

1 3: Page acquisition module 2 4: HTML file analysis module 3 5: Page group detection module 4 6: Annotation addition module 5 7: Correction module for the function of distance 6 calculation 7 8: Web server 8 9: Objective URL list 9 10: Annotation addition 10 20: HTML parser 11 21: Layout tag listing module 12 22: Characteristic value acquisition module 13 41: Inter-page distance calculation module 14 42: Layout group determination module

43: Representative value calculation module

44: Inter-layout distance calculation module

45: Layout sharing group determination module

## 18 DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

- 19 The present invention provides methods, apparatus and systems
- 20 whereby a page group employing the same layout is detected in
- 21 accordance with the tag structure of a document, such as an
- 22 HTML document. Then, annotations are shared among these
- 23 pages. At a site designated by a user, the layout structure
- 24 of the contents is analyzed, and tags are enumerated that are
- 25 factors referred to when determining a layout. At the same
- 26 time, in order to clearly identify the structure of the

- 1 layout tags in a document, such as an HTML document, the
- 2 layout tags are written in a structural descriptive form that
- 3 employs a style for the designation of positions on the page,
- 4 i.e., an XPath, an XPointer or a tree format. Further, the
- 5 characteristic values of the layout tags (structural
- 6 descriptive forms) are acquired. Then, based on the obtained
- 7 data, the distance between the pages is calculated. Based or
- 8 the calculated distance, a group of pages using the same
- 9 layout and a group of pages sharing part of the layout are
- 10 automatically detected and presented to a user. When the
- 11 user adds an annotation for one representative page of a page
- 12 group, a corresponding annotation is added to [generally to
- 13 all] pages in the group that employ the same layout. When
- 14 there are pages that share the layout, first, an annotation
- 15 is added to the portion used in common, and then, annotations
- 16 are added to the portions that are individually held by
- 17 individual page groups. In this fashion, an efficient
- 18 annotation provision can be provided.
- 19 Further, in this invention, when a user additionally performs
- 20 a correction to divide or unify the presented page groups,
- 21 the results can be employed to correct the distance
- 22 calculation expression. As a result, the accuracy in the
- 23 following page group division can be improved.
- 24 An example embodiment of the present invention will now be
- 25 described in detail while referring to the accompanying
- 26 drawings. It should be noted, however, that the present
- 27 invention can be implemented by various other embodiments,
- 28 and is not limited to this embodiment. Further, throughout

- 1 this embodiment, the same reference numerals are used to
- 2 denote corresponding or identical components.
- 3 In the embodiment, mainly, a method or a system will be
- 4 explained. However, as will be apparent to one having
- 5 ordinary skill in the art, the present invention can be
- 6 implemented not only as a method and a system, but also as a
- 7 computer-readable program, or as a storage medium on which
- 8 such a program is stored. Therefore, the present invention
- 9 can be provided as hardware, software or a combination of
- 10 hardware and software. An example storage medium on which
- 11 the program can be recorded is an arbitrary computer-readable
- 12 storage medium, such as a hard disk, a CD-ROM, an optical
- 13 storage device or a magnetic storage device.
- 14 In the following embodiment, a common computer system can be
- 15 employed. The computer system used for this embodiment
- 16 comprises a central processing unit (CPU), a main memory
- 17 (RAM) and a nonvolatile memory (ROM), [generally to all] of
- 18 which are interconnected by a bus. In addition, a
- 19 co-processor, an image accelerator, a cache memory and an
- 20 input/output controller (I/O) may be connected to the bus.
- 21 Further, an external storage device, a data input device, a
- 22 display device and a communication controller are also
- 23 connected to the bus via an appropriate interface, as are the
- 24 hardware resources generally provided for a computer system.
- 25 An example external storage device is a hard disk drive;
- 26 however, a device such as a magneto-optical storage device,
- 27 an optical storage device or a semiconductor storage device,
- 28 such as a flash memory, can also be employed as an external

- 1 storage device. As the data input device, a device such as a
- 2 keyboard, a pointing device, such as a mouse, a pen input
- 3 device or a tablet can be employed. The data input device
- 4 also includes an image reader, such as a scanner, or a speech
- 5 input device. An example display device can be a CRT, a
- 6 liquid crystal display device or a plasma display device.
- 7 Furthermore, the computer system includes an arbitrary
- 8 computer, such as a personal computer, a workstation or main
- 9 frame computer.
- 10 Fig. 1 is a block diagram showing an example information
- 11 processing system according to one embodiment of the present
- 12 invention. An information processing system 1 of this
- 13 embodiment comprises a database 2, a page acquisition module
- 14 3, an HTML file analysis module 4, a page group detection
- 15 module 5, an annotation addition module 6 and a correction
- 16 module 7 for the function of distance calculation.
- 17 The database 2 is used to record data generated by modules
- 18 that will be described later and a page file (also called an
- 19 HTML file) obtained from a web server 8. The database 2 is
- 20 constituted by a storage device, such as a hard disk drive,
- 21 that is internally provided for the information processing
- 22 system 1 of this embodiment and software for controlling the
- 23 input/output of data. However, the database 2 is not
- 24 necessarily provided inside the information processing system
- 25 1, and may be an external file as designated by a URL.
- 26 Further, the database 2 need not be intensively managed, and
- 27 may be recorded and managed in a distributed manner. That
- 28 is, so long as the input/output of necessary data can be

- 1 carried out by appropriate address designation means, the
- 2 database 2 of this embodiment can be constituted, regardless
- 3 of the type of physical storage device or its location.

4

- 5 The page acquisition module 3 receives an objective URL list
- 6 9 from a user, and obtains the contents of the associated URL
- 7 from the web server 8. For example, HTTP (HyperText Transfer
- 8 Protocol) is used for an acquisition request, and the
- 9 obtained HTML file (page file) will be recorded in the
- 10 database 2.
- 11 First, the page acquisition module 3 obtains the page file of
- 12 the objective URL list 9. Then, the URLs (e.g., obtained
- 13 from the href attribute of <a> tag) included in a page at the
- 14 objective URL are enumerated, and from among these URLs, only
- 15 a URL included in a range designated by a user is selected
- 16 and is added to the URL list 9. Following this, the pages on
- 17 the URL list 9 are sequentially obtained, and as the page
- 18 files are obtained, they are recorded in the database 2.
- 19 When URLs that are related to the associated URL that is
- 20 obtained are included, the same process is recurrently
- 21 performed for these associated URLs. In this manner, pages
- 22 linked in the site can be obtained. Meanwhile, a double
- 23 registration should not be performed for a URL that has
- 24 already appeared on the URL list 9. The · URL list 9 is also
- 25 recorded in the database 2.
- 26 The HTML file analysis module 4 analyzes the page files
- 27 obtained by the page acquisition module 3 in order to list
- 28 the layout tags that affect the page layout and to obtain the

- 1 characteristic values of the layout tags.
- 2 Fig. 2 is a block diagram showing an example configuration
- 3 for the HTML file analysis module 4. The HTML file analysis
- 4 module 4 includes an HTML parser 20, a layout tag listing
- 5 module 21 and a characteristic value acquisition module 22.
- 6 The HTML parser 20 analyzes the HTML file obtained by the
- 7 page acquisition module 3, and converts the HTML file into a
- 8 tag structure description form, such as a DOM tree.
- 9 The layout tag listing module 21 employs the structural
- 10 descriptive form to list, from the obtained tag structure,
- 11 the tags (layout tags) that affect the layout structure.
- 12 Example layout tags can be "table", "tbody", "tr", "td", "th"
- 13 and "hr". The style, such as the XPath or XPointer, for
- 14 designating the position on a page, or the tree format can be
- 15 employed as the structural descriptive form.
- 16 The characteristic value acquisition module 22 correlates,
- 17 with the structure description form, the characteristic
- 18 values of the attributes of the listed layout tags and
- 19 elements that are included in the sub-trees of the layout
- 20 tags. The following attributes and elements can be employed
- 21 as the characteristic values. For layout tag "table", there
- 22 are the attributes "align", "bgcolor", "border",
- 23 "cellpadding", "cellspacing" and "width". For layout tag
- 24 "tbody", there are the attributes "align" and "valign". For
- 25 layout tag "tr", there are the attributes "align", "bgcolor"
- 26 and "valign". For layout tag "td" or "th", there are the

- 1 attributes "align", "bgcolor", "colspan", "height",
- 2 "rowspan", "valign" and "width" and the presence/absence of
- 3 the element, such as text or an image, and the size of the
- 4 element. And for layout tag "hr", there are the attributes
- 5 "align", "width", "size" and "noshade".
- 6 The HTML file analysis module 4 correlates the layout tags
- 7 having the structural descriptive form and the correlated
- 8 characteristic values with the URLs of the URL list. The
- 9 HTML file analysis module 4 then records the layout tags and
- 10 the characteristic values in the database 2.
- 11 Fig. 3 is a diagram showing a URL on the URL list and the
- 12 layout tags and the characteristic values that are correlated
- 13 with the URL. For example, URL
- "http://www.ibm.com/index.html"
- 15 includes layout tags
- 16 "/html[1]/body[1]/table[1]", and
- 17 "/html[1]/body[1]/table[1]/tr[1]/td[1]",
- 18 which are written in the structure description form (XPath in
- 19 this case). Characteristic values "width=200, bgcolor=blue,
- 20 . . . " are correlated with "/html[1]/body[1]/table[1]", while
- 21 characteristic value "bgcolor=red, . . . " is correlated with
- 22 "/html[1]/body[1]/table[1]/tr[1]/td[1]".
- 23 The page group detection module 5 calculates an inter-page

- 1 distance by using the layout tags and the characteristic
- 2 values that are obtained by the HTML file analysis module 4.
- 3 With this function, the page group detection module 5
- 4 extracts, as a layout group, a group of pages having the same
- 5 or similar layout structure. In addition, the page group
- 6 detection module 5 calculates, for one part of the area of
- 7 the page file, a layout having a layout structure used in
- 8 common by another page file, and extracts these page files as
- 9 a layout sharing group.
- 10 Fig. 4 is a block diagram showing an example structure for
- 11 the page group detection module 5. The page group detection
- 12 module 5 includes an inter-page distance calculation module
- 13 41, a layout group determination module 42, a representative
- 14 value of layout group calculation module 43, an inter-layout
- 15 distance calculation module 44, and a layout sharing group
- 16 determination module 45.
- 17 The inter-page distance calculation module 41 employs a
- 18 characteristic value correlated with the layout tag to
- 19 calculate a distance between a page file including the layout
- 20 tag and another page file. The layout group determination
- 21 module 42 extracts, as a layout group, page files for which
- 22 the inter-page distance calculated by the inter-page distance
- 23 calculation module 41 falls within a predetermined range.
- 24 The representative value calculation module 43 calculates a
- 25 representative value for page file groups that are layout
- 26 groups and have the same or similar layout structure. The
- 27 inter-layout distance calculation module 44 calculates the
- 28 distance between layout groups. The layout sharing group

- 1 determination module 45 determines whether part of page files
  - 2 in a layout group includes the same or similar layout
  - 3 structure used in common by page files in other layout
  - 4 groups. When there is a layout used in common, the page
  - 5 files in the layout groups are extracted as layout sharing
  - 6 groups.
  - 7 There are several methods that can be used for calculating
  - 8 the distance between pages. For this embodiment, an
- 9 explanation will now be given for a method whereby the layout
- 10 tags and their characteristic values are weighted, and the
- 11 total of the distances between these tags is defined as an
- 12 inter-page distance. Assuming that A and B denote sets of
- 13 structural descriptive forms for layout tags included on two
- 14 target pages for distance calculation, the inter-page
- 15 distance D is represented by the following equation.
- $16 \quad D = \Sigma d_i (T_i)$
- 17 where  $T_i$  denotes the i-th element of the layout tag that
- 18 satisfies A U B, and  $d_{\rm i}$  denotes the distance function of
- 19 layout tag  $T_{\text{i}}$  . It should be noted that i satisfies 1  $\leq$  i  $\,\leq\,$
- 20 (the total number of layout tags that satisfy A  $\cup$  B).
- 21 The distance function  $d_i$  is a function of the layout tag  $T_i$ ,
- 22 and when  $T_i \in (A \cap B)$ ,
- 23  $d_{i}(T_{i}) = W_{i}*\Sigma W_{cij}*(f_{i}(C_{Aij}, C_{Bij})),$
- 24 while in other cases,
- $d_{i}(T_{i}) = W_{i}*L_{i},$

- 1 where  $W_i$  denotes a weighting coefficient for the layout tag
- $2 T_i$ , and "1", for example, can be employed.  $C_{ij}$  denotes the
- 3 value of a characteristic value j for the layout tag  $T_i$ .  $W_{cij}$
- 4 denotes the weighting coefficient for the characteristic
- 5 value  $C_{ij}$  of the layout tag  $T_i$ , and "1", for example.  $f_i$
- 6 denotes a function that represents the distance between the
- 7 characteristic values, while a function for returning a "0"
- 8 when the characteristic values are the same and for returning
- 9 a "1" when they differ can be employed. Li denotes a
- 10 distance constant when the layout tag  $T_i$  is present only on
- 11 one page, and, for example,  $L_1 = 5$  can be employed.
- 12 The inter-page distance calculation module 41 calculates the
- 13 inter-page distance D using the above method, and the layout
- 14 group determination module 42 employs the inter-page distance
- 15 D to group the same or similar layouts. A method, such as
- 16 clustering, can be employed for this determination means, and
- 17 the inter-layout distance D of equal to or smaller than
- 18 threshold value e.g. 10 can be employed as the reference for
- 19 determination of the similarity range.
- 20 An example page file that constitutes the thus generated
- 21 layout group is shown in Figs. 5A and 5B. Fig. 5A is a
- 22 diagram showing a screen presented by browsing a specific
- 23 page file, and Fig. 5B is a diagram showing a screen
- 24 presented by browsing a second page file. The distance
- 25 between these pages obtained by the above method is "0" in
- 26 this case. That is, in the structure of the page layout, the
- 27 layout tags and characteristic values are the same for the
- 28 file in Fig. 5A and the file in Fig. 5B. Thus, these two

- 1 page files fall into the same layout group. Naturally,
- 2 however, contents irrelevant to the layout structure (the
- 3 contents of individual table elements) differ.
- 4 Another example of page files in the same layout group is
- 5 shown in Figs. 6A and 6B. Fig. 6A is a diagram showing the
- 6 screen obtained by browsing a specific page file, and Fig. 6B
- 7 is a diagram showing the screen obtained by browsing a second
- 8 page file. The inter-page distance obtained by the above
- 9 method is "3" in this case, and both of the page files have
- 10 the same layout tag structure. However, the layout tags
- 11 related to the layouts for portions indicated by arrows have
- 12 different characteristic values (display colors in this
- 13 example). In this example, an inter-page distance of "3" is
- 14 obtained because of this difference. However, since the
- 15 inter-page distance does not exceed "10", it is ascertained
- 16 that the page files are similar and fall into the same layout
- 17 group.
- 18
- 19 Figs. 7A and 7B are diagrams showing examples of screens of
- 20 page files that do not fall into the same layout group. The
- 21 page files in Figs. 7A and 7B are displayed by browsing, as
- 22 are those in Figs. 5 and 6. In this case, the layout tag
- 23 structures are the same. However, the characteristic values
- 24 of the layout tags of the two page files differ greatly, and
- 25 it is ascertained that the page files have different layouts.
- 26 For example, for layout tag "td" at the portions indicated by
- 27 arrows, in Fig. 7A characters are arranged by setting
- 28 "width", while in Fig. 7B an image is simply located.
- 29 Further, in Fig. 7A "bgcolor" is set for the layout tag "tr",

- 1 while in Fig. 7B "bgcolor" is not set. Because of these
- 2 differences, an inter-page distance D of "14" is obtained,
- 3 and the page files fall into different layout groups.
- 4 Through this processing, the grouping of same or similar page
- 5 files is accomplished and the obtained layout groups are
- 6 recorded in the database 2.
- 7 An explanation will now be given for the processing for
- 8 extracting a layout sharing group having the same layout of
- 9 one part of a page file. For each layout group obtained by
- 10 the above method, the representative value calculation module
- 11 43 calculates the representative values of the layout group
- 12 based on the layout tags and the characteristic values.
- 13 First, the representative value calculation module 43 obtains
- 14 a layout tag that is representative of the layout group. The
- 15 method for obtaining a representative tag can be a method for
- 16 calculating a set of sums or a set of products of the layout
- 17 tags included in the page files of the layout group. As
- 18 other methods, there are a method can be a method for
- 19 obtaining a set of layout tags such that the number of page
- 20 files having a specific layout tag exceeds a threshold value,
- 21 and an arbitrary method for determining a tag representative
- 22 of the layout tags for the layout group. Subsequently, the
- 23 representative value calculation module 43 determines the
- 24 characteristic values of the selected layout tags. A method
- 25 for determining the characteristic values can be one whereby
- 26 a decision is obtained based on a majority or an average of
- 27 the characteristic values of the page files in the layout
- 28 group.

- 1 The inter-layout distance calculation module 44 calculates
- 2 the distance between the layout groups by using the
- 3 representative values for the individual layout groups
- 4 obtained by the representative value calculation module 43.
- 5 Several methods are available for calculating the distance
- 6 between layout groups. In this embodiment, an explanation
- 7 will be given for a method for performing weighting for a
- 8 layout tag and its characteristic value, and for obtaining,
- 9 as an inter-layout distance, the sum of distances between
- 10 tags. When A' and B' denote sets of representative tags
- 11 belonging to two layout groups between which the distance is
- 12 to be calculated, the inter-layout distance D' is represented
- 13 by the following equation,

$$D' = \Sigma d_i' (T_i)$$

- 15 where  $T_i$  denotes the i-th element of layout tags that satisfy
- $16\ \text{A'}\ \text{U}\ \text{B'},\ \text{and}\ d_i\ \text{denotes}$  the distance function for the layout
- 17 tag  $T_{i}$  . It should be noted that i is  $1 \leq i \leq$  (the total of
- 18 the layout tags that satisfy A' U B').
- 19 The distance function  $d_{\mbox{\scriptsize i}}{}^{\mbox{\scriptsize i}}$  is the function of the layout tag
- 20  $T_{i}\text{, and when }T_{i}\in\text{(A'}\cap\text{B'),}$
- 21  $d_{i}'(T_{i}) = W_{i}'*(M_{i} + \Sigma W_{Cij}'*(f_{i}'(C_{Aij}, C_{Bij})),$
- 22 is established, whereas in another case,

- $d_{i}'(T_{i}) = W_{i}'*L_{i}'.$
- $2 W_i$ ' denotes the weighting coefficient of the layout tag  $T_i$ ,
- 3 and is, for example, "1".  $C_{ij}$ ' denotes the characteristic
- 4 value j of the layout tag  $T_i$ .  $W_{\text{cij}}$ ' denotes the weighting
- 5 coefficient of the characteristic value  $C_{ij}$  of the layout tag
- 6  $T_i$ , and is, for example, "1".  $f_i$ ' denotes a function that
- 7 represents the distance between characteristic values. For
- $8 f_{i}$ , a function can be employed that returns a "0" when the
- 9 characteristic values are the same or that returns a "1" when
- 10 the characteristic values differ.  $M_{\rm i}$  denotes the distance
- 11 constant when the layout tag  $T_1$  is present in both of the
- 12 layout groups.  $L_i$ ' denotes the distance constant when the
- 13 layout tag T<sub>i</sub> is present in only one. In this manner, the
- 14 distance D', which separates the layout groups, can be
- 15 obtained.
- 16 The layout sharing group determination module 45 employs the
- 17 inter-layout distance D', which is supplied by the
- 18 inter-layout distance calculation module 44, to group page
- 19 files using a method such as clustering. Then, those page
- 20 groups (layout sharing groups) that are assumed to share a
- 21 part of the layout are enumerated. It should be noted that
- 22 inherent layout IDs are allocated for the layout groups or
- 23 the layout sharing groups.
- 24 In response to an annotation addition request 10 issued by a
- 25 user, the annotation addition module 6 adds an annotation to
- 26 each group. To add an annotation to an entire layout group,
- 27 the annotation addition module 6 correlates the annotation

- I with an inherent layout ID allocated for the layout group.
- 2 For the addition of the annotation, a page group (a layout
- 3 group or a layout sharing group) detected by the page group
- 4 detection module 5 is presented to the user. At this time,
- 5 the relationship of the sharing of the layout is depicted
- 6 using a graphical method, e.g. tree graph, it can be easily
- 7 understood by the user.
- 8 Sequentially, the user selects a page from the presented page
- 9 group, and adds the annotation to the selected page. Then,
- 10 the annotation is stored in the database 2, correlated with
- 11 the layout ID of the pertinent page. When a layout sharing
- 12 group is present, the annotation added to the tag structure
- 13 that is stored in common (hereinafter referred to as a
- 14 sharing layout) is copied to and stored in correlation with
- 15 the layout ID of each element of the layout sharing group.
- 16 When the user selects a page for which the annotation has
- 17 already been added to the sharing layout portion, the sharing
- 18 layout portion is highlighted and presented to the user, so
- 19 that the annotation information can be referred to.
- 20 Therefore, the user need only add the annotation to the
- 21 portion that the layout group independently stores, and can
- 22 add the annotation for the entire page.
- 23 When the user divides or unifies layout groups or separates
- 24 members of a sharing relationship, the correction module 7
- 25 for the function of distance calculation corrects the
- 26 parameters used for distance calculation, so that they

- 1 reflect the division or unification or the separation.
- 2 When the user corrects the presented page group, for example,
- 3 by dividing or unifying it, the inter-page distance
- 4 calculation expression is corrected using the correction
- 5 results, and the accuracy of the division of a page group can
- 6 thereafter be increased. To make the correction, various
- 7 methods can be employed. For this embodiment, an explanation
- 8 that will now be given describes a method used to change the
- 9 inter-page distance calculation expression by changing the
- 10 weighting provided for the layout tag and the characteristic
- 11 value.
- 12
- 13 When the division of a layout group is instructed, in the
- 14 groups obtained by the division, different layout tags and
- 15 characteristic values are employed. The inter-page distance
- 16 calculation expression is changed by increasing the weighting
- 17 for layout tags and for characteristic values, and during the
- 18 following page group detection process, these layout groups
- 19 are detected as different groups. It should be noted that
- 20 the weighting may be reduced for layout tags, which are
- 21 matched for the groups obtained by the division, and for
- 22 characteristic values.
- 23 When the merging (unification) of layout groups is
- 24 instructed, contrary to what is described above, the
- 25 weighting for the layout tags and the characteristic values
- 26 is reduced. And the calculation expression is changed, so
- 27 that during the following page group detection process and
- 28 the layout sharing determination process, these layout groups

- 1 are determined to be members of the same page group or layout
- 2 sharing group. It should be noted that in a merged group the
- 3 weighting of layout tags and characteristic values that match
- 4 may be increased.
- 5 When the user adds a correction, such as the cancellation
- 6 (separation) of a layout sharing relationship, similarly, the
- 7 layout tags and characteristic values that differ between the
- 8 representative values for the layout groups are employed.
- 9 The inter-layout distance calculation expression is corrected
- 10 by changing the weighting provided for these layout tags and
- 11 characteristic values. As a result, the accuracy attained in
- 12 the determination of the layout sharing can thereafter be
- 13 increased.
- 14 An overview of the information processing system of this
- 15 embodiment has been given. Now, an explanation will be
- 16 presented for an annotation addition method that uses this
- 17 system. First, a user designates the URL of an object site
- 18 and the condition (the directory or the updating date) of an
- 19 object to which an annotation is to be added. Then, during
- 20 the processing performed by the information processing
- 21 system, the page acquisition module 3 obtains an object HTML
- 22 file, the HTML file analysis module 4 analyzes the page file,
- 23 and the page group detection module 5 detects a layout group
- 24 and a layout sharing group.
- 25 Following this, the page groups (layout groups) that are
- 26 assumed to have the same layout are presented to the user in
- 27 an arbitrary order, such as the descending order of the

- 1 number of page files in the page group. Then, a request is
- 2 issued for the addition of an annotation to an arbitrary page
- 3 (page file) in the page group.
- 4 Fig. 8 is a flowchart showing the annotation addition
- 5 processing. First, as is described above, layout groups
- 6 (page groups) are obtained from the database 2 and are
- 7 presented to the user (step 50). Then, a check is performed
- 8 to determine whether an annotation has been added to
- 9 [generally to all] the layout groups (step 51). When an
- 10 annotation has been added to the layout groups, the
- 11 processing is terminated (step 52). But when an annotation
- 12 has not yet been added to one or more layout groups, program
- 13 control shifted to step 53. At step 53, an arbitrary layout
- 14 group (page group) is selected, and a layout ID(1) is
- 15 selected for correlation with the page group.
- 16 Then, an arbitrary page (page file) in the page group (layout
- 17 group) is selected by the user (step 54). Thereafter, at
- 18 step 55, the selected page file is presented to the user by
- 19 an appropriate browser, and the user, while watching the
- 20 display screen, adds an annotation. Specifically, the user
- 21 adds, for example, a link for jumping to a screen division
- 22 for a PDA or a small screen device, or to the content of a
- 23 speech browser. The layout ID(1) is then correlated with the
- 24 added annotation.
- 25 After the annotation has been provided, the number of
- 26 applicable pages in the page group is presented to permit the
- 27 user to select either to present the annotation provided for

DOCKET NUMBER: JP920000431US1

- 1 the entire page group, or to apply the annotation for the
- 2 individual pages. That is, a check is performed to decide
- 3 whether it is possible to use the annotation for the entire
- 4 page group (layout group) (step 56). When the decision at
- 5 step 56 is 'Yes', the layout ID(1) is provided for [generally
- 6 to all] the page files in the page group (step 57), and
- 7 program control advances to step 58 for the provision of an
- 8 annotation for the layout sharing group.
- 9 When the decision at step 56 is 'No', a check is performed to
- 10 determine whether it is possible to add the annotation to
- 11 selected pages of the page group. At step 59 a check is
- 12 performed to confirm that [generally all] pages in the page
- 13 group have been processed. When the decision is 'No', one of
- 14 the remaining pages is selected (step 60).
- 15 A check is then performed to determine whether it is possible
- 16 to use the annotation for the selected page (step 61). When
- 17 it is determined the use of the annotation is possible (the
- 18 decision at step 61 is 'Yes'), the layout ID(1) is provided
- 19 for the selected page (step 62). When it is determined use
- 20 of the annotation is not possible (the decision at step 61 is
- 21 'No'), a temporary layout ID is provided for the selected
- 22 page (step 63). This temporary layout ID is a common ID
- 23 provided for pages for which the layout ID(1) can not be
- 24 used, and an identification ID for the performance of the
- 25 individual processes, as will be described later.
- 26 After the layout ID(1) or the temporary layout ID has been
- 27 provided, program control returns to step 59, and the

- 1 processing at step 59 and the following steps is repeated.
- 2 When it is ascertained at step 59 that [generally to all] the
- 3 pages in the page group have been processed, a check is
- 4 performed to determine whether a page is present for which
- 5 the temporary layout ID was provided (step 64). When the
- 6 decision is 'Yes', program control advances to a process
- 7 (step 65) for adding an annotation to a page group for which
- 8 a temporary layout ID was provided. When no pages remain for
- 9 which the temporary layout ID was provided, program control
- 10 advances to step 58.
- 11 Fig. 9 is a flowchart showing the processing for adding an
- 12 annotation to a page group for which the temporary layout ID
- 13 has been provided. When program control advances to step 65
- 14 in the flowchart in Fig. 8, the processing in Fig. 9 is
- 15 performed. First, an arbitrary page is selected from the
- 16 page group including pages for which the temporary layout ID
- 17 was provided (step 70), and a layout ID(2) is provided for
- 18 the selected page. Then, an annotation is added to the
- 19 selected page (step 71). The layout ID(2) is provided for
- 20 the annotation. A check is then performed to determine
- 21 whether the annotation can be added to [generally to all] the
- 22 pages in the page group that were provided the temporary
- 23 layout ID (step 72). When the decision is 'Yes' the layout
- 24 ID(2) is added to [generally to all] the pages of the page
- 25 group that were originally provided the temporary layout ID
- 26 (step 73). The inter-page distance calculation expression is
- 27 then corrected (step 74), and thereafter the processing is
- 28 terminated (step 75).

- 1 When the decision at step 72 is 'No' (when the annotation can
- 2 not be used for all the pages in the page group that were
- 3 provided the temporary layout ID), a check should be
- 4 performed to determine whether the annotation can be applied
- 5 for individual pages. At step 76, a check is performed to
- 6 determine whether it is confirmed that the annotation can be
- 7 added to [generally to all] the pages in the page group for
- 8 which the temporary layout ID was provided. When the
- 9 confirmation is not yet completed (the decision is 'No'), an
- 10 arbitrary page is selected from the page group (step 77), and
- 11 a check is performed to determine whether the application of
- 12 the annotation for the selected page is possible (step 78).
- 13 When the application is possible, the layout ID(2) is
- 14 provided for the selected page (step 79) and program control
- 15 returns to step 76. When, at step 78, the annotation can not
- 16 be applied, program control returns to step 76 without
- 17 performing any further processes (maintains the temporary
- 18 layout ID).
- 19 When the decision at step 76 is 'Yes' (the confirmation for
- 20 the pages has been completed), a check is performed to
- 21 determine whether there is a page for which the temporary
- 22 layout ID was provided (step 80). When there is no page for
- 23 which the temporary layout ID was provided (the decision is
- 24 'No'), program control is shifted to step 74, and the
- 25 inter-page distance calculation expression is corrected. The
- 26 processing is thereafter terminated (step 75). But when
- 27 there is a page for which the temporary layout ID is provided
- 28 (the decision at step 80 is 'Yes'), program control returns
- 29 to step 70 and the above processing is repeated.

- 1 Through this processing, [generally all] pages having the
- 2 temporary layout ID are processed and an appropriate
- 3 annotation is assigned to each of the pages of the target
- 4 page group (layout group). When different annotations are
- 5 provided for pages in the same layout group, at step 74 the
- 6 inter-page distance calculation expression is corrected.
- 7 Thus, through the calculation of the next inter-page
- 8 distance, the correction is reflected and the pertinent pages
- 9 are sorted into different layout groups.
- 10 The processing for adding an annotation to the layout sharing
- 11 group (step 58) will now be described. Fig. 10 is a
- 12 flowchart showing the processing for adding an annotation to
- 13 the layout sharing group. First, an arbitrary page group
- 14 (layout group) is selected from among the layout sharing
- 15 groups (step 81). Then, a check is performed to determine
- 16 whether there are multiple annotation choices to be added to
- 17 the sharing layout (step 82). Since a page group is divided
- 18 or different annotations are provided in the layout sharing
- 19 group, it is highly probable that multiple annotation choices
- 20 will be available for the layout sharing portion. In this
- 21 case, in the following process for adding an annotation to a
- 22 layout sharing group, annotation choices are presented in
- 23 order to permit a user to select one of them (step 83).
- 24 Then, a check is performed to determine whether the selected
- 25 annotation can be applied for the layout sharing portion
- 26 (step 84). When the application is possible, the annotation
- 27 to be added to the sharing portion is copied, and provision
- 28 of the annotation for portions other than the sharing portion

- 1 is requested (step 86). The above described method is used
- 2 for the annotation provision. As is described above, since
- 3 an annotation provided in advance can be copied for the
- 4 sharing portion, and the user need only add the annotation
- 5 for portions other than the sharing portion. As a result,
- 6 the workload required for the provision of the annotation can
- 7 be reduced. When the application of the annotation to the
- 8 sharing portion is impossible, the provision of the
- 9 annotation for the entire page is requested (step 85).
- 10 Thereafter, the same process as in the addition of the
- 11 annotation is performed for the page group having the
- 12 temporary layout ID (step 87). And a check is performed to
- 13 determine whether the above process has been performed for
- 14 [generally to all] the page groups in the layout sharing
- 15 groups (step 88). When the page groups have been processed,
- 16 this processing is terminated (step 89). But when [generally
- 17 all] the pages have not yet been processed, program control
- 18 returns to step 81 and the processing is repeated. When the
- 19 annotation is not applied for the entire sharing layout, the
- 20 inter-layout distance calculation expression is also
- 21 corrected (step 87). The processes shown in Figs. 8 to 10
- 22 are performed in order for [generally all] the page groups,
- 23 and the addition of annotations to the entire site is
- 24 completed.
- 25 As is described above, the information processing system or
- 26 method of this embodiment can simultaneously add an
- 27 annotation to or apply it to pages having the same or similar
- 28 layout. Further, when the same layout is used for one part
- 29 of the pages, the addition and the application of the

- 1 annotation to this sharing portion can also be simplified.
- 2 Thus, the efficiency of the user's operation to add an
- 3 annotation can be considerably increased. The operating
- 4 efficiency is especially improved for a site, such as a news
- 5 site or a database site, whereat the volume of the page files
- 6 carried is large, and the layouts employed for the pages tend
- 7 to be used in common.
- 8 When the user changes the determination of the similarity
- 9 that is automatically performed by the system, only the
- 10 distance calculation expression need be changed in the above
- 11 described manner, since the system automatically changes the
- 12 determination reference. Thus, the grouping accuracy can be
- 13 improved. As the determination reference is changed by the
- 14 user operation performed to provide an annotation, the user
- 15 need only provide an annotation for the operating efficiency
- 16 to be automatically improved. That is, as learning effect,
- 17 the reference for determining the layout group or the layout
- 18 sharing group is automatically changed by the user operation
- 19 that is performed. In this embodiment, an example for the
- 20 simultaneous provision of an annotation has been explained.
- 21 However, an annotation that has already been provided can be
- 22 used for the dynamic provision of an annotation for a page
- 23 file, and for transcoding, as follows.
- 24 Specifically, while a user is browsing an HTML document, an
- 25 annotation, such as "marking", is provided to a specific
- 26 position, and the system stores this information with the
- 27 layout data (layout tags and characteristic values) for a
- 28 pertinent page. During the browsing performed thereafter,

- 1 the user employs this layout data to perform transcoding,
- 2 such as division of a screen or the embedding of a link at a
- 3 marked position.
- 4 Further, when the browsing of a page having no annotation is
- 5 requested, the inter-page distance calculation module
- 6 calculates a distance between a requested page and a page for
- 7 which annotations have already been registered. As a result,
- 8 when the inter-page distance is smaller than a threshold
- 9 value, transcoding is performed using the annotation provided
- 10 for the nearest page, and the results are presented to the
- 11 user. When the user points at an annotation error, the
- 12 correction module for the function of distance calculation
- 13 changes the distance calculation expression. Further, the
- 14 user can add new annotation information, as needed. With
- 15 this method, since the user can add an annotation as needed
- 16 while browsing, instead of adding annotations for all the
- 17 pages in advance, the annotations can be added to the entire
- 18 site, step by step.
- 19 The invention has been specifically explained for an example
- 20 embodiment; however, the present invention is not limited to
- 21 this embodiment, and can be variously changed without
- 22 departing from the scope of the invention. For example, in
- 23 the above embodiment, to determine the similarity between the
- 24 page files, the method has been explained whereby the
- 25 distance between the pages or between the layout groups is
- 26 calculated by weighting the layout tags and characteristic
- 27 values. However, the method is not thereby limited, and a
- 28 tag skeleton method may be employed, or the similarity of the

- 1 images or the contents (text) of HTML documents may be
- 2 employed as a determination reference.
- 3 In addition, in this embodiment, the acquisition of the
- 4 layout sharing group and the application of an annotation to
- 5 a sharing layout using the layout sharing group need not be
- 6 requisite conditions for the present invention. In other
- 7 words, the present invention includes a case that is limited
- 8 to the acquisition of the layout groups and the application
- 9 of the annotation to the layout group. In this case, the
- 10 effects provided by the invention, such as the reduction in
- 11 the labor required for providing annotations, can be
- 12 obtained. Furthermore, in this invention, the condition for
- 13 correcting the calculation expression for the distances
- 14 between pages or layout groups need not be a requisite
- 15 condition. In this event, effects otherwise provided by the
- 16 invention can also be obtained.
- 17 In this embodiment, the similarities between the layouts of
- 18 HTML documents are employed to form groups. However, the
- 19 present invention can be extended to a determination of the
- 20 similarities between tags that are not related to the layout,
- 21 or the similarities of the contents of a document. In this
- 22 case, the similarities evidenced by HTML document structures
- 23 or the contents of documents can be determined, and this
- 24 determination can be employed for an analysis, for example,
- 25 of a site by a site manager, or for an analysis of a history
- 26 for the changing a page file at a site. Further, in the
- 27 example embodiment, an HTML file has been used as a page
- 28 file. However, the present invention can be applied for a

- 1 page file written in a markup language, such as XML
- 2 (Extensible Markup Language) or dynamic HTML.
- 3 Thus, this invention includes an operation for providing an
- 4 annotation for a page file can be efficiently performed. And
- 5 in addition, using the system of the invention, layout groups
- 6 or layout sharing groups can be more accurately formed.
- 7 The present invention can be realized in hardware, software,
- 8 or a combination of hardware and software. A visualization
- 9 tool according to the present invention can be realized in a
- 10 centralized fashion in one computer system, or in a
- 11 distributed fashion where different elements are spread
- 12 across several interconnected computer systems. Any kind of
- 13 computer system or other apparatus adapted for carrying out
- 14 the methods and/or functions described herein is suitable.
- 15 A typical combination of hardware and software could be a
- 16 general purpose computer system with a computer program that,
- 17 when being loaded and executed, controls the computer system
- 18 such that it carries out the methods described herein. The
- 19 present invention can also be embedded in a computer program
- 20 product, which comprises the features enabling the
- 21 implementation of the methods described herein, and which -
- 22 when loaded in a computer system is able to carry out these
- 23 methods.
- 24 Computer program means or computer program in the present
- 25 context include any expression, in any language, code or
- 26 notation, of a set of instructions intended to cause a system
- 27 having an information processing capability to perform a
- 28 particular function either directly or after conversion to

- 1 another language, code or notation, and/or reproduction in a
- 2 different material form.
- 3 Thus the invention includes an article of manufacture which
- 4 comprises a computer usable medium having computer readable
- 5 program code means embodied therein for causing a function
- 6 described above. The computer readable program code means in
- 7 the article of manufacture comprises computer readable
- 8 program code means for causing a computer to effect the steps
- 9 of a method of this invention. Similarly, the present
- 10 invention may be implemented as a computer program product
- 11 comprising a computer usable medium having computer readable
- 12 program code means embodied therein for causing a a function
- 13 described above. The computer readable program code means in
- 14 the computer program product comprising computer readable
- 15 program code means for causing a computer to effect one or
- 16 more functions of this invention. Furthermore, the present
- 17 invention may be implemented as a program storage device
- 18 readable by machine, tangibly embodying a program of
- 19 instructions executable by the machine to perform method
- 20 steps for causing one or more functions of this invention.
- 21 It is noted that the foregoing has outlined some of the more
- 22 pertinent objects and embodiments of the present invention.
- 23 This invention may be used for many applications. Thus,
- 24 although the description is made for particular arrangements
- 25 and methods, the intent and concept of the invention is
- 26 suitable and applicable to other arrangements and
- 27 applications. It will be clear to those skilled in the art
- 28 that modifications to the disclosed embodiments can be

- 1 effected without departing from the spirit and scope of the
- 2 invention. The described embodiments ought to be construed
- 3 to be merely illustrative of some of the more prominent
- 4 features and applications of the invention. Other beneficial
- 5 results can be realized by applying the disclosed invention
- 6 in a different manner or modifying the invention in ways
- 7 known to those familiar with the art.